

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,603	01/26/2005	Tsunehiro Fukuchi	2005_0024A	3410	
513 WENDEROTT	7590 09/02/201 H. LIND & PONACK, 1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			CHEN, CATHERYNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1655			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/522,603	FUKUCHI ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
CATHERYNE CHEN	1655					

•	Examino	Aironic	1					
	CATHERYNE CHEN	1655						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe 	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which lapseas the pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (f box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1:	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	e of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8.10 and 11.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. No The request for reconsideration has been considered by Please see last Office action of record. Applicant argue to Applicant's arguments, the product itself needs to sho Thus, the unexpected results are not convincing. Applicant and noum, carob bean gum and carrageenan are all pharmaceutical preparations. It would have been obvious medicinal product.	s that there is unexpected results w w results that are commensurate in ant argues that hindsight is used. I medicinal binders, which are conve	ith preservative stabil scope with the claim in response to Applica ntionally used in jellie	ity. In response ed products. ant's argument, d					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. ☐ Other:	· · · · · · —							

/Michele Flood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100819